BECKER • KURIG • STRAUS

München - Berlin

Patentanwälte Becker Kurig Straus • Bavariastr. 7 • D-80336 München

European Patent Office

80298 München Deutschland



Patent- und Rechtsanwälte European Patent Attorneys European Trademark Attorneys

Dr. Eberhard Becker, Chem. Dr. Thomas Kurig, Dipl.-Phys. Dr. Alexander Straus, Dipl.-Chem. Dr. Roman Vuille', Dipl.-Chem. Friedrich von Braun, Rechtsanwalt

Peter Kylin, MSc.²
Magnus Hynell, MSc.²
Annika Björkman, MSc.²
Ivar Andréasson, MSc.²
Eva Lena Jansson²
Magnus Aspeby, MSc.² of counsel
Lars E. Johansson, MSc.² of counsel

Bavariastrasse 7 D-80336 München Tel.: +49-89-746 303 0 Fax: +49-89-746 303 11 info@galileolaw.de

November 06, 2003 Www.galileolaw.de

PCT-Application WO PCT/EP 02/00047

Applicant / Owner:

Nokia Corporation

Title:

Method and device for downlink packet access signaling...

Our Ref.:

50865 WO WO (KG/TP)

In Response to the first Written Opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority dated Oct. 10, 2003:

enclosed please find

a set of amended claims 1 to 18; new description pages 13, 14 and 19; and a new Fig. 2.

Amended Claims

The modifications of the amended claims 1 to 18 consider the objections marked by the Examiner.

Amended claim 1 corresponds to the original claim 1. The feature "said high-speed indicator designating [...]" has been moved from the characterising part to the preamble of the amended claim 1 in accordance with item 2a of the Written Opinion.

The back references of claims 13 and 14 have been corrected with regard to item 2b of the Written Opinion.

EV 452362672 45

The wording of the amended claims 15 to 17, which correspond to the original claims 15 to 17, has been corrected according to the proposal of the Examiner provided in item 2c of the Written Opinion.

The reference signs, which do not relate to features in the drawings, have been removed throughout the amended claims. The wording "anyone" has been substituted with the wording "any one" in any claims in which the substitution has been required.

The remaining claims correspond to the original claims.

New Description Pages

The new description pages 13 and 14 contain corrections proposed by the Examiner in item 3 of the Written Opinion. In addition, Fig. 2 containing the same mistake concerning the addresses of the mobile terminal devices UE 10 and UE 19, has been corrected analogously. Fig. 2 and the description referring thereto illustrates a grouping of mobile communication terminals according to the first address coding procedure described on page 11, lines 12 ff. in detail. In particular, when reading the disclosure on page 11, line 31 to page 12, line 2 the skilled reader recognises the mistakes corrected on page 13 and 14 as well as in Fig. 2.

The unclear wording contained in lines 14 to 15 on page 19 has been corrected.

Dr. Thomas Kurig

Enclosures